

**Sue Steffen**


---

**From:** Aaron Adams  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 23, 2009 8:33 PM  
**To:** Mike Naggar; Shawn Nelson; Bob Johnson  
**Subject:** RE:

Mike-you raise good points that should be covered by both City staff and RCC. Bob and I will make sure these are incorporated into any and all opportunities to educate including Thursday's town hall.

Thanks Mike.  
 Aaron

---

**From:** Mike Naggar  
**Sent:** Sun 8/23/2009 9:05 AM  
**To:** Aaron Adams; Shawn Nelson; Bob Johnson  
**Subject:** RE:

If this was a Mike Naggar project, and I had to sell it to a community which I have done successfully on many occasions in my private life this is where I would focus:

- 1) Criteria- Who will be in the Trans housing. Who will not be in the housing
- 2) Rules those in the housing must follow
- 3) The criteria for qualifying
- 4) The exit strategy for residents
- 5) No singles
- 6) No food service
- 7) No activity on site but living quarters
- 8) How will the city prevent this from being a homeless Mecca
- 9) How do people qualify
- 10) The role of Rancho Church
- 11) Other successful shelters
- 12) Tours to those shelters
- 13) Rules of the house
- 14) How do drugs or drug rehab fit in here
- 15) Is this strictly for people experiencing bad economic times or is this rehab
- 16) If rehab, from what
- 17) If rehab how is the community comforted or assured that those with habits that need rehab to not bring elements of those habits to the community IE super-market local parks etc... How will that be gagged? Who will pull the trigger should these things exist
- 18) Regards 17, what mechanism is there for the public to complain? What is a reasonable complaint and how will it be handled. What guarantees does the public have that complaints will be responded to and handled.

The above is just for starters. Like I mentioned with the parade ordinance. I have full confidence and faith in the council and staff we have now to enforce and handle problems. However I do not bank on this type of management always being here. In fact I expect it to become less efficient. So when council members move on, or staff retire or move on how do we ensure that the same quality of city management will be around to monitor and enforce a project like this? This is a real concern of mine with everything we do. Also, the scenario I described has repeated itself many, many times in other places.

Cleaning up problems is very hard once they are rooted in the community (gangs, drugs etc). We have been fortunate to not allow any of that to gain a foothold here. Now we are inviting something that has the "appearance" of these things. In fact with lax management can and will become these things. These are valid concerns and must be dealt with satisfactory. People asking these questions are not heartless or cruel.

8/28/2009



